Public Notice Requirements in the Final Regulation

Division of Long Term Services and Supports
Disabled and Elderly Health Programs Group
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services
Final Regulation became effective on March 17, 2014
• Covers 1915(c) waivers and 1915(i) state plan programs, including regulations on:
  o Home and community-based (HCB) settings
    ▪ Allows Transition Plans on programs approved prior to March 17, 2014 to bring HCB Settings into compliance with the regulation by March 16, 2019
  o Person-centered planning
  o Conflict of interest
The final regulation also includes Home and community-based settings requirements for the 1915(k) State Plan authority (SPA).

All 1915(k) programs approved after March 17, 2014 must fully comply with the rule.
• Public Notice Requirements for 1915(k) SPAs:
  o States must follow the standard public notice requirements described in § 447.205, which requires states to provide public notice of any significant proposed change in its methods and standards for setting payment rates for services.
  o The final rule did not change the public notice requirements for 1915(k) SPA submissions.
Key Points

• Transition Plans are only allowed on existing programs as of the effective date of the regulation (March 17, 2014)
  o All new proposals must have HCB settings fully compliant with the regulation at time of approval

• Transition plans are only allowed for HCB Settings compliance
  o All other regulation provisions are expected to be met immediately
Public Notice Requirements

- Public Notice is required for the following:
  1. 1915(c) waiver and 1915(i) state plan specific transition plans
  2. A statewide transition plan, and
  3. A new or renewal 1915(c) waiver, and any amendment that includes a substantive change including, but not limited to:
     I. Change in rate methodology
     II. Change in provider qualifications,
     III. Elimination or reduction in the scope, amount or duration of services
     IV. Constriction in the eligible population
The public notice requirements are the same:

- There must be at least a 30 day public notice and comment period
  - The state must at minimum provide 2 statements of public notice and public input procedures
  - The state must assure that the full transition plan(s) is available to the public for comment
- The state must consider and modify the transition plan, as the state deems appropriate, to account for public comment
The submission of the proposed transition plan to CMS must include:

- Evidence of the public notice required,
- A summary of the comments received during the public notice period,
- Reasons why comments were not adopted,
- Any modifications made to the transition plan based upon those comments.
Upon approval by CMS, the State will begin implementation of the transition plans.

The State’s failure to submit an approvable transition plan as required by this section and/or to comply with the terms of the approved transition plan may result in compliance actions, including but not limited to deferral/disallowance of Federal Financial Participation.
Waiver modifications may be made effective retroactive to the first day of a waiver year, or another date after the first day of a waiver year, in which the amendment is submitted, unless the amendment has substantive changes as determined by CMS.

Substantive changes include, but are not limited to:

- Elimination or reduction of services
- Reduced scope, amount and duration of any service
- Change in provider qualifications
- Change in rate methodology, and
- Constriction in the eligible population
A request for an amendment that involves a substantive change, may only take effect on or after the date when the amendment is approved by CMS.

The amendment must be accompanied by information on how the State has assured smooth transitions and minimal effect on individuals adversely impacted by the change.
New 1915(c) Waivers, Renewals or Amendments with Substantive Change

- The public notice process must be used for existing waivers that have substantive changes proposed, either through the renewal or the amendment process, and new waivers.
- This process must be completed at a minimum of 30 days prior to submission of the proposed change to CMS,
- This process must include consultation with Federally-recognized Tribes, and in accordance with section 5006(e) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111–5), Indian health programs and Urban Indian Organizations
New 1915(c) Waivers, Renewals or Amendments with Substantive Change

• The public notice and input process must be sufficient to reach the individuals receiving services, those who could be eligible and other stakeholders.
Summary

• The public notice process has been substantially strengthened with the new HCBS Regulation

• States may want to combine the transition plan and waiver public notices when both are needed at the same time
  – However, must call out in the public notice that both are present, and where each is located for review
For Further Information

For Questions contact:
Ralph.Lollar@cms.hhs.gov
Final Rule
Medicaid HCBS

Disabled and Elderly Health Programs Group
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services
Published in the Federal Register on 01/16/2014

Title:
Medicaid Program; State Plan Home and Community-Based Services, 5-Year Period for Waivers, Provider Payment Reassignment, and Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements for Community First Choice (Section 1915(k) of the Act) and Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) Waivers (Section 1915(c) of the Act)
Intent of the Final Rule

• To ensure that individuals receiving long-term care services and supports through home and community based service (HCBS) programs under the 1915(c), 1915(i) and 1915(k) Medicaid authorities have full access to benefits of community living and the opportunity to receive services in the most integrated setting appropriate.

• To enhance the quality of HCBS and provide protections to participants.
The final rule reflects:

• Combined response to public comments on two proposed rules published in the Federal register –
  – May 3, 2012
  – April 15, 2011
• More than 2000 comments received from states, providers, advocates, employers, insurers, associations, and other stakeholders
Highlights of the Final Rule

• Defines, describes, and aligns home and community-based setting requirements across three Medicaid authorities

• Defines person-centered planning requirements for persons in HCBS settings under 1915(c) HCBS waiver and 1915(i) HCBS State Plan authorities

• Implements regulations for 1915(i) HCBS State Plan benefit
Highlights of the Final Rule

• Provides option to combine multiple target populations within one 1915(c) waiver
• Provides CMS with additional compliance options for 1915(c) waiver programs
• Establishes five-year renewal cycle to align concurrent authorities for certain demonstration projects or waivers for individuals who are dual eligible
• Includes a provider payment reassignment provision to facilitate certain state initiatives
Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements

• The home and community-based setting requirements establish an outcome oriented definition that focuses on the nature and quality of individuals’ experiences.

• The requirements maximize opportunities for individuals to have access to the benefits of community living and the opportunity to receive services in the most integrated setting.
Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements

The final rule establishes:

- Mandatory requirements for the qualities of home and community-based settings including discretion for the Secretary to determine other appropriate qualities
- Settings that are not home and community-based
- Settings presumed not to be home and community-based
- State compliance and transition requirements
Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements

The Home and Community-Based setting:

• Is integrated in and supports access to the greater community

• Provides opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, and control personal resources

• Ensures the individual receives services in the community to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid home and community-based services
Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements

- Is selected by the individual from among setting options, including non-disability specific settings and an option for a private unit in a residential setting
  - Person-centered service plans document the options based on the individual’s needs, preferences; and for residential settings, the individual’s resources
Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements

- Ensures an individual’s rights of privacy, dignity, respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint
- Optimizes individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices
- Facilitates individual choice regarding services and supports, and who provides them
Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements for Provider-Owned or Controlled Residential Settings

Additional requirements:

• Specific unit/dwelling is owned, rented, or occupied under legally enforceable agreement

• Same responsibilities/protections from eviction as all tenants under landlord tenant law of state, county, city or other designated entity

• If tenant laws do not apply, state ensures lease, residency agreement or other written agreement is in place providing protections to address eviction processes and appeals comparable to those provided under the jurisdiction’s landlord tenant law
Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements for Provider-Owned or Controlled Residential Settings

• Each individual has privacy in their sleeping or living unit
• Units have lockable entrance doors, with appropriate staff having keys to doors as needed
• Individuals sharing units have a choice of roommates
• Individuals have the freedom to furnish and decorate their sleeping or living units within the lease or other agreement
• Individuals have freedom and support to control their schedules and activities and have access to food any time
• Individuals may have visitors at any time
• Setting is physically accessible to the individual
Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements for Provider-Owned or Controlled Residential Settings

Modifications of the additional requirements must be:

• Supported by specific assessed need
• Justified in the person-centered service plan
• Documented in the person-centered service plan
Home and Community-Based Setting Requirements for Provider-Owned or Controlled Residential Settings

Documentation in the person-centered service plan of modifications of the additional requirements includes:

- Specific individualized assessed need
- Prior interventions and supports including less intrusive methods
- Description of condition proportionate to assessed need
- Ongoing data measuring effectiveness of modification
- Established time limits for periodic review of modifications
- Individual’s informed consent
- Assurance that interventions and supports will not cause harm
Settings that are NOT Home and Community-Based

- Nursing facility
- Institution for mental diseases (IMD)
- Intermediate care facility for individuals with intellectual disabilities (ICF/IID)
- Hospital
Settings PRESUMED NOT to Be Home and Community-Based

- Settings in a publicly or privately-owned facility providing inpatient treatment
- Settings on grounds of, or adjacent to, a public institution
- Settings with the effect of isolating individuals from the broader community of individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS
These settings (slide 18) may NOT be included in states’ 1915(c), 1915(i) or 1915(k) HCBS programs unless:

- A state submits evidence (including public input) demonstrating that the setting does have the qualities of a home and community-based setting and NOT the qualities of an institution; AND

- The Secretary finds, based on a heightened scrutiny review of the evidence, that the setting meets the requirements for home and community-based settings and does NOT have the qualities of an institution
Transition

- For NEW 1915(c) HCBS waivers or 1915(i) HCBS State Plan benefits to be approved, states must ensure that HCBS are only delivered in settings that meet the new requirements
Transition

For renewals and amendments to existing HCBS 1915(c) waivers submitted within one year of the effective date of final rule:

- The state submits a plan in the renewal or amendment request detailing any actions necessary to achieve or document compliance with setting requirements for the specific waiver or amendment
- Renewal or amendment approval will be contingent upon inclusion of an approved transition plan
Transition

For renewals and amendments to existing 1915(i) state plan benefits submitted within one year of the effective date of final rule:

• The state submits a plan in the State Plan Amendment (SPA) or renewal (for 1915(i)s that target) request detailing any actions necessary to achieve or document compliance with setting requirements for the specific waiver or amendment

• SPA approval or renewal of the 1915(i) will be contingent upon inclusion of an approved transition plan
For ALL existing 1915(c) HCBS waivers and 1915(i) HCBS State Plan benefits in the state, the state must submit a plan:

- Within 120 days of first renewal or amendment request detailing how the state will comply with the settings requirements in ALL 1915(c) HCBS waivers and 1915(i) HCBS State Plan benefits

- The level and detail of the plan will be determined by the types and characteristics of settings used in the individual state
When a state DOES NOT renew or amend an existing 1915(c) HCBS waiver or 1915(i) HCBS State Plan benefit for HCBS within one year of the effective date of the final rule, the plan to document or achieve compliance with settings requirements must:

• Be submitted within one year of the effective date of the final rule
• Include all elements, timelines, and deliverables as required
The state must provide a 30-day public notice and comment period on the plan the state intends to submit to CMS -

- Provide minimum of two statements of public notice and public input procedures
- Ensure the full transition plan is available for public comment
- Consider public comments
- Modify the plan based on public comment, as appropriate
- Submit evidence of public notice and summary of disposition of the comments
Transition

• Implementation of the plan begins upon approval by CMS
• Failure to submit an approvable plan may result in compliance actions
• Failure to comply with the terms of an approved plan may result in compliance actions
Final Rule Changes to Address Major Comments of Concern in NPRMs

- *Disability specific complex* – Phrase replaced with “any other setting that has the effect of isolating individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS from the broader community of individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS …”

- *Rebuttable presumption* – Settings presumed to have institutional characteristics will be subject to heightened scrutiny allowing states to present evidence that the setting is home and community-based

- *Choice of provider in provider owned and operated settings* – Clarified that choice of provider is intrinsic to the setting
Final Rule Changes to Address Major Comments of Concern in NPRMs

• *Private rooms/roommate choice* – Needs, preferences, and resources are relevant to option of private versus shared residential unit. Providers must offer roommate choice for shared rooms.

• *Application of setting requirements to non-residential settings* – Rule applies to all settings where HCBS are delivered, not just to residential settings and CMS will provide additional information about how states should apply the standards to non-residential settings.
Final rule includes changes to the requirements regarding person-centered service plans for HCBS waivers under 1915(c) and HCBS state plan benefits under 1915(i) -

• Identical for 1915(c) and 1915(i)
• The person-centered service plan must be developed through a person-centered planning process
1915(c) and 1915(i)
Home and Community-Based Services
Person-Centered Service Plans

• The person-centered planning process is driven by the individual
• Includes people chosen by the individual
• Provides necessary information and support to the individual to ensure that the individual directs the process to the maximum extent possible
• Is timely and occurs at times/locations of convenience to the individual
1915(c) and 1915(i) Home and Community-Based Services
Person-Centered Service Plans

• Reflects cultural considerations/uses plain language
• Includes strategies for solving disagreement
• Offers choices to the individual regarding services and supports the individual receives and from whom
• Provides method to request updates
1915(c) and 1915(i)
Home and Community-Based Services
Person-Centered Service Plans

• Conducted to reflect what is important to the individual to ensure delivery of services in a manner reflecting personal preferences and ensuring health and welfare

• Identifies the strengths, preferences, needs (clinical and support), and desired outcomes of the individual
1915(c) and 1915(i)
Home and Community-Based Services
Person-Centered Service Plans

• May include whether and what services are self-directed
• Includes individually identified goals and preferences related to relationships, community participation, employment, income and savings, healthcare and wellness, education and others
• Risk factors and measures in place to minimize risk
• Individualized backup plans and strategies when needed
• Individuals important in supporting individual
• Individuals responsible for monitoring plan
1915(c) and 1915(i)
Home and Community-Based Services
Written Person-Centered Service Plan Documentation

• Distributed to the individual and others involved in plan
• Includes purchase/control of self-directed services
• Exclude unnecessary or inappropriate services and supports
Other 1915(c) provisions in the final rule -

- Allows states to serve more than one target group in a single waiver
- Clarifies timing of amendments and public input process when states propose modifications
- Describes strategies available to CMS to assist states with compliance
- Clarifies guidance regarding effective dates of waiver amendments particularly in the area of substantive changes
• States, under prior regulation, had ability to serve only one of three target groups

• A state may combine target groups within one waiver -
  – Individuals who are aged and disabled, or both
  – Individuals with intellectual disabilities or developmental disabilities, or both
  – Individuals with mental illness

• The state must assure that the waiver meets the needs of each individual regardless of target group.
Final rule clarifies guidance regarding effective dates of waiver amendments and the influence of substantive changes

- Substantive changes include changes in eligible populations, constriction of service, amount, duration or scope, or other modifications as defined by the Secretary
1915(c) Home and Community-Based Services Duration, Extension and Amendment of a Waiver

- Waiver amendments with changes that are substantive (as described in the rule) may take effect only on or after the date of CMS approval
- The state must provide public notice when proposing significant changes to its methods and standards for setting payment rates for services
- The state is required to establish a public input process specifically for HCBS changes that are substantive in nature (slide 43)
• The final rule describes additional strategies CMS may employ to ensure state compliance with the requirements of a waiver, short of termination or non-renewal, such as freezing enrollment, deferring payment for a service or other actions as determined necessary by the Secretary.
Section 1915(i) of the Act -

- Was established by Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 and was effective January 1, 2007
- Is an option to amend state plan to offer HCBS
- Is an unique type of state plan benefit with similarities to 1915(c) HCBS waivers
- Breaks the “eligibility link” between HCBS and institutional care required under 1915(c) waivers
1915(i) State Plan HCBS Benefit

The Affordable Care Act of 2010 amended 1915(i) by –

• Adding a new optional categorical eligibility group for individuals to provide full Medicaid benefits to certain individuals who will be receiving HCBS

• Authorizing states to waive comparability
The final rule implements the laws and requires state plan home and community based services to meet -

- Home and community-based settings requirements
- Needs-based eligibility requirement
- Minimum state plan HCBS requirement (one service at a frequency established by the state)
- Applicable targeting criteria
- Nonapplication, i.e., option to not apply certain requirements (medically needy, comparability) when determining eligibility
The final rule implements the laws and requires the state to establish –

• Needs-based criteria and evaluation
• Independent assessment for each individual determined to be eligible for the benefit
• Person-centered service plan
• Provider qualifications
• Definition of individual’s representative
• Self-directed services
• State responsibilities and quality improvement
• State establishes needs-based criteria for determining eligibility under state plan for HCBS benefit
• Needs-based criteria are factors used to determine an individual’s requirements for support and may include risk factors
• State must have more stringent institutional and waiver needs based criteria
• State may modify needs-based criteria in certain situations
• Individual independent evaluation to determine eligibility with periodic redeterminations
1915(i) State Plan HCBS Benefit – Needs-Based Criteria and Evaluation Requirement

• Needs-based criteria are NOT-
  – Descriptive characteristics of the person, or diagnosis
  – Population characteristics
  – Institutional levels of care
1915(i) State Plan HCBS Benefit - Independent Assessment of Needs

- For each individual determined eligible
- Conducted at least every 12 months and, as needed, when an individual’s support needs or circumstances change significantly
- Service plan is updated accordingly
The state defines -

- Standards for agency and individual providers
- Standards for agents conducting evaluations, assessment, and service plan development
- Conflict of interest standards to assure independence
  (Note: Conflict of interest standards apply to public and private individuals and entities)
• Legal guardian or other person authorized under state law to make decisions regarding the individual’s well-being
• Any other person authorized to represent the individual including parent, family member or advocate
• State has policies describing authorization process, extent of decision-making and safeguards
• State must meet person-centered planning process including assuring that the process provides necessary information and support to the individual to ensure that the individual directs the process to the maximum extent possible
1915(i) State Plan HCBS Benefit – Self-Directed Services

- Services that are planned and purchased under the direction and control of the individual (or representative)
- Services include the amount, duration, scope, provider, and location
- Person-centered service plan must meet additional requirements when individual chooses to direct some/all HCBS
- Person-centered service plan specifies employer authority, limits to authority, and parties responsible for functions outside individual authority
State responsibilities and quality improvement:

- Provide CMS with projected numbers of individuals to be enrolled and actual numbers from previous year.
- Grant access to all HCBS needed to eligible individuals per person-centered service plan.
- Implement HCBS quality improvement strategy that includes continuous quality improvement process, measures of program performance, and experience of care.
For more information

More information about the final regulation is available:

http://www.medicaid.gov/HCBS

A mailbox to ask additional questions can be accessed at:

hcbs@cms.hhs.gov
Person-Centered Planning

Disabled and Elderly Health Programs Group
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services
Regulatory Requirement

• Required for both the 1915(c) and the 1915(i)

• For the 1915(c)
  – Requirements for the person-centered planning process can be found at 441.301(c)(1)(ix)
  – Requirements for the person-centered service plan can be found at 441.301(c)(2)(xiii A through H)
  – Requirements for review of the person-centered plan can be found at 441.301(c)(3)
Regulatory Requirements

• For the 1915(i)
  – Requirements for the person-centered process and plan can be found at 441.725(a) and (b)

• The process and plan requirements are the same for both authorities.
• Each individual will be engaged in a person-centered planning process, which will lead to the development of their person-centered service plan.
The individual will lead the person-centered planning process where possible.

The process should provide necessary information and support to ensure that the individual directs the process to the maximum extent possible, and is enabled to make informed choices and decisions.
• The individual’s representative should have a participatory role, as needed and as defined by the individual, unless State law confers decision-making authority to the legal representative.
  – All references to individuals include the role of the individual’s representative.
• The planning process should only include people chosen by the individual.
The person-centered planning process should be characterized by the following:

- Is timely and occur at times and locations of convenience to the individual.
- Reflects cultural considerations of the individuals.
- Is conducted by providing information in plain language and in a manner that is accessible to individuals with disabilities and persons who are limited English proficient.
Person-Centered Planning Process

Conflict Resolution

• The person-centered planning process should include strategies for solving conflict or disagreement within the process, including clear conflict-of-interest guidelines for all planning participants.
Person-Centered Planning Process

Conflict-of-Interest

• Providers of HCBS for the individual, or those who have an interest in or are employed by a provider of HCBS for the individual must not provide case management or develop the person-centered service plan.

• Exceptions to this will only be granted when the State demonstrates that the only willing and qualified entity to provide case management or develop person-centered service plans in a geographic area also provides HCBS.
Person-Centered Planning Process

Conflict-of-Interest

• In these cases the State must devise conflict of interest protections including separation of entity and provider functions within provider entities, which must be approved by CMS.

• Individuals must be provided with a clear and accessible alternative dispute resolution process.
Person-Centered Planning Process

Informed Choices & Updates

The planning process must:

• Offer informed choices to the individual regarding the services and supports they receive and from whom.

• Record the alternative home and community-based settings that were considered by the individual.

• Include a method for the individual to request updates to the plan as needed.
Person-Centered Service Plan

• The person-centered service plan must reflect the services and supports that are important for the individual to meet the needs identified through an assessment of functional need, as well as what is important to the individual with regard to preferences for the delivery of such services and support.

• The written plan must reflect that the setting in which the individual resides is chosen by the individual.
The State must ensure that the setting chosen by the individual is integrated in, and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including:

- Opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings
- Engage in community life
- Control personal resources
- Receive services in the community to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.
The person-centered service plan must:

• Reflect the individual’s strengths and preferences.
• Reflect clinical and support needs as identified through an assessment of functional need.
• Include individually identified goals and desired outcomes.
• Reflect risk factors and measures in place to minimize them, including individualized back-up plans and strategies when needed.
The person-centered service plan must:

- Reflect the services and supports (paid and unpaid) that will assist the individual to achieve identified goals, and providers of those services and supports, including natural supports.
  
  - Natural supports are unpaid supports that are provided voluntarily to the individual voluntarily in lieu of 1915(c) HCBS waiver services and supports.
Person-Centered Service Plan

Services & Supports

The person-centered service plan must:

• Include those services, the purpose or control of which the individual elects to self-direct.

• Prevent the provision of unnecessary or inappropriate services and supports.
The person-centered service plan must be understandable to the individual receiving services and supports, and the individuals important in supporting him or her.

At a minimum, for the written plan to be understandable, it must be written in plain language, and in a manner that is accessible to individuals with disabilities and persons who are limited English proficient.
The person-centered service plan must:

• Identify the individual and/or entity responsible for monitoring the plan.

• Be finalized and agreed to, with the informed consent of the individual in writing, and signed by all individual and providers responsible for its implementation.

• Be distributed to the individual and other people involved in the plan.
Person-Centered Service Plan

**Modifications**

- Any modifications of these conditions must be supported by a specific assessed need and justified in the person-centered service plan.
Person-Centered Service Plan

Modifications

The following requirements must be documented in the person-centered service plan:

• Identify a specific and individualized assessed need.

• Document the positive interventions and supports used prior to any modifications to the person-centered service plan.

• Include a clear description of the condition that is directly proportionate to the specific assessed need.
The following requirements must be documented in the person-centered service plan:

- Include a regular collection and review of data to measure the ongoing effectiveness of the modification.
- Include established time limits for periodic reviews to determine if the modification is still necessary or can be terminated.
- Include informed consent of the individual.
- Include an assurance that interventions and supports will cause no harm to the individual.
• The person-centered service plan must be reviewed, and revised upon reassessment of functional need at least every 12 months, when the individual’s circumstances or needs change significantly, or at the request of the individual.
Statewide Transition Plan Alignment with HCB Settings Regulation Requirements

Disabled and Elderly Health Programs Group
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services
Overview

- Introduction to the Statewide Transition Plan
- Assessment Process
- Remedial Strategy
- Public Input Process
- Other Components
- Summary
Introduction to the Statewide Transition Plan

Regulatory Requirement
When to Submit
What is a Statewide Transition Plan
Snapshot of Main Components
Regulatory Requirement

• Each state that operates a 1915(c) waiver or a Section 1915(i) state plan benefit that was in effect on or before March 17, 2014 is required to file a Statewide Transition Plan.

• The regulatory requirement can be found at 42 CFR Section 441.301(c)(4)(5) and Section 441.710(a)(1)(2).
When to Submit

• Triggered by the state’s first 1915(c) waiver or 1915(i) SPA that is renewed or amended between March 17, 2014 and March 16, 2015.
  – A Statewide Transition Plan must be submitted within 120 days after the submission date of the first renewal or amendment.
  – If a state does not submit an amendment or renewal between March 17, 2014 and March 16, 2015, the state must submit a Statewide Transition Plan no later than March 17, 2015.
What is a Statewide Transition Plan

• The vehicle through which states determine their compliance with the regulation requirements for home and community-based settings in 42 CFR Section 441.301(c)(4)(5) and Section 441.710(a)(1)(2).

• Describes to CMS how the state will comply with the new regulations.

• States must be in full compliance with the federal requirements by the time frame approved in the Statewide Transition Plan but no later than **March 17, 2019**.
Snapshot of the Main Components

• **Assessment Process:** Includes the state’s assessment of the extent to which its regulations, standards, policies, licensing requirements, and other provider requirements ensure settings comport with the regulation.

• **Remedial Strategy:** Describes actions the state proposes to assure initial and on-going compliance with the HCBS settings requirements, with specific timeframes for identified actions and deliverables.

• **Public Input:** Subject to public input, as required in 42 CFR Section 441.301(6)(B)(iii) and 42 CFR Section 441.710(3)(iii).
Assessment Process

Systemic Review
Site Specific Assessments
Provider Assessments
Settings Presumed Not to be HCB
Summary
Assessment Process

• To determine whether state transition actions are needed for compliance, states must first determine their current level of compliance with the settings requirements.

• A written description of the assessment should be submitted to CMS, which includes:
  o The state’s assessment of the extent to which its standards, rules, regulations, or other requirements comply with the HCBS settings requirements.
  o A description of the state’s oversight process to ensure continuous compliance.

• The state may also assess individual settings/types of settings to further document their compliance.
Systemic Review

• Upon conducting its compliance assessment, a state may determine that:
  – Existing state standards meet the federal settings requirement, and
  – The state’s oversight process is adequate to ensure ongoing compliance,
  – therefore, any settings currently approved under the state’s standards meet the federal settings requirement.

• The state describes its process for conducting the compliance review and the outcomes of that review.
Systemic Review (cont’d)

• The state determines that its standards may not meet the federal settings requirements.

• The state includes in its Statewide Transition Plan:
  1. Specific remedial action(s) to come into compliance, which may include:
     a) Proposing new state regulations or revising existing ones
     b) Revising provider requirements
     c) Conducting statewide provider training on the new state standards.
2. Time frame for completing these actions, and

3. estimate of the number of settings that likely do not meet the federal settings requirement.
Site Specific Assessment

- In situations where the state standards do not coincide with the federal standards, it is possible that specific settings are in compliance with the federal requirements.
- In this case, a state may choose to assess individual sites to determine which are/are not in compliance with the federal standard.
- Such an assessment may impact the time frames proposed to bring settings into compliance; if so, the Statewide Transition Plan should include these additional actions and timeframes.
Site Specific Assessment (cont’d)

- States may conduct specific site evaluations through standard processes such as licensing reviews, provider qualification reviews, support coordination visit reports, etc.
- States may engage individuals receiving services and representatives of consumer advocacy entities (long-term care ombudsman programs, protection and advocacy systems, etc.) in the assessment process.
Site Specific Assessment (cont’d)

• Evaluations may be conducted by entities such as:
  o state personnel
  o case managers that are not associated with the agency operating the setting providing services
  o Licensing entities.
  o Managed Care Organizations.
  o Individuals receiving services themselves and/or
  o Representatives of consumer advocacy entities such as long-term care ombudsman programs and/or protection and advocacy systems.
Site Specific Assessments (cont’d)

• States may perform on-site assessments of a statistically significant sample of settings.

• When states do not have full knowledge of the settings in their system, CMS strongly encourages, at a minimum, a sampling approach to onsite reviews.

• Assessment of individual settings is not a substitute for ensuring that state standards, regulations, policies, and other requirements are consistent with federal requirements and that the state has an oversight system in place to assure ongoing compliance with the requirements.
• States may also administer surveys of providers.
• In this instance, providers of the settings could “self-assess” their compliance with the federal requirements or provide information required by the state to make a determination of compliance.
• States should include a validity check against the self evaluation
• If the assessment indicates settings do not meet the new requirements, states must include remediation strategies in the Statewide Transition Plan.
Settings Presumed Not to be Home and Community-Based

- Where the state is submitting evidence that a setting presumed not to be home and community-based is in fact home and community-based and does not have the qualities of an institution, evidence of a site visit will facilitate the heightened scrutiny process.
If the state determines on the basis of its review of current state regulations, standards, and policy that its settings are consistent with federal settings requirements, the state should describe:

• The process of the assessment,
• The basis for the conclusion(s), and
• The oversight (monitoring) process
• If the process of assessment is not yet complete and will require greater than six (6) months for review the state must submit justification for the additional time frame.
If the assessment is based on state standards, the state needs to provide their best estimate of the number of settings that:

1. Fully align with the federal requirements,
2. Do not comply with the federal requirements and will require modifications,
3. Cannot meet the federal requirements and require removal from the program and/or the relocation of individuals, and
4. Are presumptively non-HCB but for which the state will provide evidence to show that those settings do not have the characteristics of an institution and do have the qualities of HCB settings (to be evaluated by CMS through the heightened scrutiny process)

- CMS would expect an on-site assessment that supports the state’s assertion.
Summary (cont’d)

If the state conducts site specific evaluations, the state needs to provide the same information as required for an assessment based on state’s standards.
Remedial Strategy

State Level Remedial Actions
Provider Level Remedial Actions
Settings Presumed Not to be HCB
Relocation of Beneficiaries
Remedial Strategy

• Statewide Transition Plans should include a detailed description of the remedial actions the state will use to assure full compliance with the HCB settings requirements, including timelines, milestones and monitoring process.
State Level Remedial Actions
*Might include, but are not limited to:*

- New requirements promulgated in statute, licensing standards or provider qualifications.
- Revised service definitions and standards.
- Revised training requirements or programs.
- Plans to relocate individuals to settings that are compliant with the regulations.
Provider Level Remedial Actions

*Might include, but are not limited to:*

- Changes to the facility or program operation to assure that the Medicaid beneficiary has greater control over critical activities like:
  - Access to meals
  - Engagement with friends and family
  - Choice of roommate
  - Access to activities of his/her choosing in the larger community, including the opportunity to seek and maintain competitive employment.
Settings Presumed Not to be HCB

• If the state determines it will submit evidence to CMS for the application of heightened scrutiny for settings that are presumed not to be home and community-based, the Statewide Transition Plan should include evidence sufficient to demonstrate the setting does not have the characteristics of an institution and *does* meet the HCB setting requirements.
• Evidence of a site visit by the state, or an entity engaged by the state, will facilitate the heightened scrutiny process.

• CMS will consider input from the state, information collected during the public input process, and information provided by other stakeholders in conducting heightened scrutiny.

• CMS may conduct its own site visits as well.
Relocation of Beneficiaries

- When relocation of beneficiaries is part of the state’s remedial strategy, the Statewide Transition Plan should include:
  - An assurance that the state will provide reasonable notice to beneficiaries and due process to these individuals;
  - A description of the timeline for the relocation process;
  - The number of beneficiaries impacted; and
A description of the state’s process to ensure beneficiaries, through the person-centered planning process, are given the opportunity, the information and the supports to make an informed choice of an alternate setting that aligns, or will align, with the regulation and that critical services/supports are in place in advance of the individual’s transition.
Time Frame & Milestones

• Submitted Statewide Transition Plans must include a time frame and milestones for state actions, including assessment and remedial actions.

• If state standards must be modified in order to effect changes in the state system, the state should propose a reasonable time frame for making the modifications.
Time Frame & Milestones (cont’d)

• If the state intends to conduct an assessment after adopting new standards, the state should provide information on how, in the interim, it will communicate the need for change, educate providers, inform individuals and families, and establish a time frame for the activities.

• The state must also include a complete timetable for coming into full compliance.
When filing its Transition Plan with CMS, the state must provide:

- A summary of public comments, including the full array of comments whether in agreement or not with the state’s determinations of the system-wide compliance and/or compliance of specific settings/types of settings;
- A summary of modifications to the Transition Plan made in response to public comment;
• When filing its Transition Plan with CMS, the state must provide:
  o In the case where the state’s determination differs from public comment, the additional evidence and the rationale the state used to confirm its determination (e.g. site visits to specific settings).
Public Posting

- At the time the state files the Transition Plan with CMS, it must simultaneously post the submitted plan on the state website.
- The URL for that posting should be included in the Transition Plan document submitted to CMS.
- The state must also provide an assurance that the Statewide Transition Plan, with any modifications made as a result of public input, is posted for public information no later than the date of submission to CMS, and that all public comments on the Transition Plan are retained and available for CMS review for the duration of the transition period or approved waiver, whichever is longer.
Public Input

Access
Public Notice
Timeframe
Public Input Process
Summary of Comments & Modifications
Public Posting
Waiver Specific Transition Plans
Changes in Public Input Process Initiated by this Regulation
Substantive Changes
Ongoing Transparency and Public Input
Public Input (cont’d)

• Prior to filing with CMS, a state must seek input from the public for its proposed Statewide Transition Plan.

• The public input period must be no less than a 30-day period.

• CMS encourages states to seek input from a wide range of stakeholders representing consumers, providers, advocates, families, and other stakeholders.
Public Input (cont’d)

• The state must retain all public comments on the Statewide Transition Plan to be made available for CMS review for the duration of the transition period or approved waiver, whichever is longer.
Access

• The process for individuals to submit public comment should be convenient and accessible for all stakeholders, particularly individuals receiving services.

• CMS requires states to post the Statewide Transition Plans on their website in an easily accessible manner and include a website address for comments.

• At least one additional option for public input, such as public forums, is required.
Public Notice

- The Statewide Transition Plan must include evidence of two statements of public notice and requests for public input.

- To accomplish this, the state could include in the Transition Plan the processes used for providing the public notice (e.g., publication in newspapers, announcement via websites, etc…).
Timeframe

- The Statewide Transition Plan should include evidence of the timeframe for public input, which verifies that a minimum of 30-days was afforded for public review and comment.

- To accomplish this, the state could include in the Transition Plan the actual date of the public notice requests for public input.
Public Input Process

• The Statewide Transition Plan should include a description of the public input process.

• To accomplish this, the state could include in the Transition Plan how public input was received (e.g., testimony, web response, etc…).
Waiver Specific Transition Plans

• States filing waiver renewals or amendments to existing 1915c waivers require a public input process in addition to the public input process for the embedded waiver specific Transition Plan.

• A state could use one public input process to meet both requirements.
Changes in Public Input Process Initiated by this Regulation

• States must ensure the document is posted and, in the case of public forums, available or distributed for comment.
• States must ensure the full Transition Plan is available to the public for public comment, including individuals receiving services, individuals who could be served, and the full stakeholder community.
• Summary statements or documents may be helpful but in and of itself will not constitute public notice.
• Meetings held with selected representatives of types of stakeholder will not be sufficient to demonstrate adequate notification or input.
Substantive Changes

• Substantive changes in a Transition Plan will require public comment.

• When a state submits an amendment or modification to a Transition Plan where additional assessment has resulted in a change in the findings or where the state adds more specific remedial action and milestones, the state must incorporate the public notice and input process into that submission.
Ongoing Transparency and Public Input

• CMS believes it would be very helpful for the states to use public input in the assessment of the state’s progress on the milestones approved in the Transition Plan.

• States are strongly encouraged to describe their process for ensuring ongoing transparency and input from the stakeholders on the process of the Transition Plan.